

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 28 2007

DuPont Legal

Barley Mill Plaza, Room 25/1322
PO Box 60025
Wilmington, DE 19880-0025

FAX COVER PAGE

November 28, 2007

Please Deliver to: Examiner Vinod Kumar
Examiner Phuong T. Bui
Fax: 1-571-273-8300

Re: Application No. 10/757667

From: Lynne M. Christenbury

Fax : (302) 892-1026

Phone: (302) 992-5481

Pages: 24 Including This Cover Sheet

Attached are Draft documents for review prior to our Telephone Interview tomorrow.

Confidentiality Notice: The information contained in this facsimile message may be privileged and confidential information intended for the use of the addressee listed above. If you are neither the intended recipient nor the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this faxed information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this fax in error, please immediately notify us by telephone to arrange for return of the original document to us. Thank you.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILED DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/758,652	01/11/2001	Anthony J. Kinney	BB1071 US D1	9508

23906 7590 06/11/2002

E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY
LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER
BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1128
4417 LANCASTER PIKE
WILMINGTON, DE 19805

EXAMINER

MCELWAIN, ELIZABETH F

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1638

DATE MAILED: 06/11/2002

RECEIVED
JUN 18 2002
PATENT RECORDS
CENTER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/758,652

Applicant(s)

KINNEY ET AL.

Examiner

Elizabeth McElwain

Art Unit

1638

— The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address —
 Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 28 2007

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 January 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 and 20-34 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 and 20-34 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
 If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
 * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) _____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ 6) ☐ Other:

Serial No. 09/758,652
Art Unit 1638

-2-

The Preliminary Amendment filed January 11, 2001 has been entered.

Claims 18 and 19 have been cancelled.

Claims 22-34 are newly submitted.

Claims 1-17 and 20-34 are pending.

5

Please note that claim 31 is drawn to a chimeric gene, yet it depends on claim 29, which is drawn to "feed". Correction of the claim dependency is required.

In addition, claims 33 and 34 are identical to claims 28 and 29. Cancellation of the duplicate claims is required.

10

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-6, 14, 16, 22, 23, 30 and 31, drawn to a method for decreasing a seed storage protein in soybean seeds, classified in class 800, subclass 278, for example.
- 15 II. Claims 7-13, 15 and 17, drawn to a method of reducing the expression of two soybean genes using the promoter of a seed storage protein gene, classified in class 800, subclass 287, for example.
- 20 III. Claims 20 and 21, drawn to a transgenic soybean plant with decreased seed storage protein subunits and increased oleic acid, classified in class 800, subclass 281, for example.

Serial No. 09/758,652
Art Unit 1638

-3-

IV. Claims 24-26, drawn to a soy based product, classified in class 530, subclass 378, for example.

V. Claims 27-29, 33 and 34 drawn to feed prepared from soybean seeds, classified in class 119, subclass 51.01, for example.

5 VI. Claim 32, drawn to food, classified in class 426, subclass 655, for example.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

The inventions of Groups I and II are distinct methods given that each method requires different method steps and different components, and each results in the production and
10 isolation of chemically and structurally distinct products. In addition, the products of Groups III-VI are chemically and structurally distinct, which are not required one by the other. In addition, the methods of Groups I and II are not required for the production of the claimed products of Groups III-VI, as each product can be made by an alternative method using different starting materials and different method steps, and one is not required by the other.

15 Thus the inventions of Groups I-VI are each capable of being separately made, independently used and the patentability of one would not render the other obvious or unpatentable.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, their recognized divergent subject matter, and the requirement for different areas of search, restriction for examination
20 purposes as indicated is proper.

Serial No. 09/758,652

-4-

Art Unit 1638

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth F. McElwain whose telephone number is (703) 308-1794. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amy Nelson, can be reached at (703) 306-3218. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4242. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the legal analyst, Gwendolyn Payne, whose telephone number is (703) 305-2475, or to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Elizabeth F. McElwain, Ph.D.
June 7, 2002

ELIZABETH F. MCELWAIN
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1800

